

WESTERN FOREST PRODUCTS INC. CENTRAL ISLAND FOREST OPERATION

FRPA SITE PLAN FINAL



Cutblock Site Plan (CSP)



Original

Feb 6, 2019



Road Site Plan (RSP)



Amendment #

A. TENURE IDENTIFICATION

Operation	Territory	Licence No.	CP/RP	Timbermark (s)	Cutblock (s) ID	Road (s) ID
CIFO	Nootka	T0679	389	TAVACC & 19/389	Z33HL	See section D

Authorizing FSP:	Plan Name	Approval Date	Expiry Date	Amended Date	Applicable FDU (s)
	Central Island Forest Stewardship Plan	May 16, 2017	May 16, 2022		# 1

Note: Section B does not apply to Road Site Plans (RSP). If preparing an RSP, delete this section from the document.

B. STOCKING STANDARDS & SOIL DISTURBANCE LIMITS



Applicable



N/A

SU	Stocking Standards ID #	Maximum Allowable Soil Disturbance within NAR	
A	1051711	5%	
All	Maximum allowable soil disturbance within NAR for roadside work area		25%

C1. LANDSCAPE LEVEL: RESULTS AND STRATEGIES APPLICABLE TO THE SITE

R/S ID #	R/S Title	How Results or Strategies Apply to the Site
6.1	<p>Table 1.1 - Soils FPPR s. 35 – Adopted as a R/S</p> <p>FPPR s. 36 – Undertaking to Comply</p>	<p>Soil Disturbance This cutblock complies with the limits outlined in FPPR section 35.</p> <p>Permanent Access Structure The cutblock complies with the limits outlined in FPPR section 36.</p>

R/S ID #	R/S Title	How Results or Strategies Apply to the Site
6.3	Table 3.1 – Water, Fish, Wildlife and Biodiversity Within Riparian Areas	This cutblock and/or road complies with FPPR sections 47 to 53.
6.3	Table 3.4 – Retention of Trees in a Riparian Management Zone	Harvesting will occur within the RMZs of riparian features within or adjacent to the cutblock, in accordance with the required minimum tree retention specified in Table 3.4.
6.6	Table 6.1 – Cutblock Size and Green-Up	EFZ This cutblock is not in a community watershed and is less than 40 ha.
6.6	Table 6.6 – Non-Spatial Old Growth	This cutblock and/or road is within a landscape unit with no established or draft OGMAs. This cutblock is compliant with the Non Spatial Old Growth Order (2004).
6.7	Table 7.1 – Wildlife and Biodiversity at the Stand Level (R/S section 1)	This cutblock is consistent with FPPR s. 66.
6.7	Table 7.1 – Wildlife and Biodiversity at the Stand Level (R/S section 3)	This cutblock is consistent with FPPR s. 67 except: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a) Danger trees may be felled, or b) Where wildlife tree retention in a cutblock is rendered ineffective by wind, fire, or another damaging agent.
6.8	Table 8.1 – Marbled Murrelet	This cutblock and/or road is in the Campbell River Natural Resource District. The holder of this FSP undertakes to comply with Section 7 notice with respect to Marbled Murrelet within FDU #1.
6.8	Table 8.3 – Keen's Long Eared Myotis	This cutblock and/or road is in the Campbell River Natural Resource District. The holder of this FSP undertakes to comply with the Section 7 notice with respect to Keen's Long Eared Myotis within FDU #1.

R/S ID #	R/S Title	How Results or Strategies Apply to the Site
6.8	Table 8.4 – Great Blue Heron	This cutblock and/or road is in the Campbell River Natural Resource District. The holder of this FSP undertakes to comply with Section 7 notice with respect to Great Blue Heron within FDU #1.
6.8	Table 8.5 – Red Legged Frogs	This cutblock and/or road is in the Campbell River Natural Resource District. The holder of this FSP undertakes to comply with Section 7 notice with respect to Red Legged Frogs within FDU #1.
6.9	Table 9.1 – Visual Quality	The cutblock and/or road is within an area with a category of alteration of partial retention or modification, and has some angular characteristics or does not appear natural in shape for one of the reasons listed under Table 9.1 R/S 4.
6.10	Table 10.1 – Cultural Heritage Resources	<p>This cutblock and/or road has been identified to those First Nations with asserted traditional territory encompassing the cutblock and/or road area, and information gathering and sharing has occurred as per Table 10.1 R/S 2.</p> <p>Within this cutblock, cedar regeneration will be planted and/or natural regeneration will be promoted as a component of future stands where it is ecologically suited and is consistent with the obligations under section 29(1) of the Act.</p> <p>No “potentially affected cultural heritage resource” has been identified in or adjacent to this cutblock and/or road.</p>
7.0	Table 13.1 Invasive plants	<p>Measure 1: On an annual basis or prior to preparing a site plan a review of the IAPP database has been completed to confirm the presence or absence of invasive plants near a proposed cutblock and/or road and that the identified species are likely to be spread during cutblock and/or road construction activities.</p> <p>Measure 2: Invasive plants that are listed as Contain or Eradicate have not been identified on site as identified within Measure 3.</p> <p>Measure 3: Invasive plants that are classified as Contain (Knotweed) or Eradicate (Giant Hogweed and Orange Hawkweed) have not been identified on site.</p> <p>Measures 4 and 5: No invasive plants which are listed as Control, Contain or Eradicate have been identified adjacent to the proposed cutblock and/or road.</p>

R/S ID #	R/S Title	How Results or Strategies Apply to the Site
----------	-----------	---

D. User defined section

Heli drop zones on roads F-30 and F-30A5 (see HI map)

E. ATTACHMENTS

Site Plan Map	☒
Other:	☐

F. ADMINISTRATION

Peer Review Checklist Administration (section I) complete ☒

RPF SIGNATURE AND SEAL:

<div> <div>Prepared By:</div> <div>C.Kuizema, FIT</div> <div>Name (Printed)</div> </div> <div> <div>Signing RPF:</div> <div>Katherine Pelkey</div> <div>RPF Name (Printed)</div> </div> <div> <div>6/02/2019</div> <div>Date Signed (dd/mm/yy)</div> </div> <div> <div>4977</div> <div>RPF Number</div> </div>		<div>  <div> <div>Digitally signed by Pelkey, Katherine</div> <div>Date: 2019.02.06 15:56:21 -08'00'</div> </div> </div> <div>RPF Signature and Seal</div>
☐	Signing statement not required.	
☒	"I certify that the work described herein fulfills the standards expected of a member of the Association of British Columbia Forest Professionals and that I did personally supervise the work."	
☐	"I certify that I have reviewed this document and, while I did not personally supervise the work described, I have determined that this work has been done to the standards expected of a member of the Association of British Columbia Forest Professionals."	